

JUN 14 2007

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ROBERT H. SHEMWELL, CLERK
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
LAFAYETTE, LOUISIANA

WESTERN

District of

LOUISIANA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

WILSON PATRICK BREAUX

Defendant

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Case Criminal No. 07-20052-06

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part I—Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____.
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the ☐ date of conviction ☐ release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

Alternative Findings (A)

- ☒ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense ☒ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 18 U.S.C. § 846 & 841(b)(1)(C) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
- ☒ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternative Findings (B)

- ☒ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☒ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by ☒ clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that

defendant is not entitled to release under the Bail Reform Act. Defendant is charged in eight counts of an indictment with conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute controlled substances, including methylenedioxymphetamine (MDA), possession of a stolen firearm, possession of a firearm during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime or crime of violence, and other things. A detention hearing was held this date and defendant failed to rebut the presumption against his release. He called no witnesses, and offered only evidence that he was employed at Dollar Tree and has a child. Defendant has an extremely lengthy arrest history, and a great number of bench warrants and fugitive warrants for failure to appear. He has convictions for theft (two counts), unauthorized vehicle, possession of marijuana (two counts), and simple criminal damage to property.

Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

June 14, 2007

Date

Signature of Judicial Officer

MILDRED E. METHVIN, U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judicial Officer